



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	'FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,840	02/08/2002	Kathleen M. Miller	98-P0151	1381
27774 75	90 01/14/2004	EXAMINER /		
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER:
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			3738	G
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	R /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				۷			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/071,840	MILLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		DAVID J ISABELLA	3738				
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address				
THE - Ext afte - If ti - If N - Fai - An	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron latute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 3 November 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	i				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters, pr ler <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-69</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-47 and 70-72</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exar						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
,* 13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for all b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Society of the priority documed Society of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse of the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domining a specific reference was included in the stranslation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domining and the stranslation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domining and the stranslation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domining and the stranslation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domining and the stranslation of the first sentence	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receiv ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not receiv nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 e first sentence of the specification of the provisional application has been reference priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachm	ent(s)						
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-47,70-72 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 48-69 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8,13-19,26,27,31,70-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Darouiche (6475434).

Darouiche discloses providing an implantable medical device with at least one biocompatible matrix polymer region and bioactive agents comprising an antimicrobial agent and a biofilm synthesis inhibitor.

Claims 2 and 3, the biofilm inhibitor may be present on one surface of the device or over multiple surfaces of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,840

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 4, see rejection to claim 1.

Claim 5, see column 5, lines 57+, the device being implants (ie devices designed for long term implantation).

Claim 6, see column 6, lines 5+.

Claim 7, see columns 5, lines 66+.

Claim 8, see column 6, lines 1+.

Claims 13 and 14, see column 17, lines 43+.

Claim's 15-19, see any examples 1-3 of Darouiche.

Claims 26,27,31 see laminates of Darouiche.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9,10,11,12,26-42, 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darouiche (6475434) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Helmus, et al (5569463) or Zaffaroni et al (4036227).

While Darouiche discloses a general listing of materials that may be used as the base matrix, including ethylene and acetate compositions, the use of ethylene vinylacetate is not specifically disclosed. Helmus, et al. and Zaffaroni et al teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/071,840

Art Unit: 3738

listing of materials that may be used as a base matrix for medical devices including ethylene and acetate compositions including ethylene vinylacetate composition and degradable materials including polylactic and polyglycolic acids. If not inherent in Darouiche, the use of ethylene vinyl acetate as a base matrix for medical devices would have been obvious from the teachings of Helmus, et al or Zaffaroni et al based upon the use of equivalent materials depending upon the engineering constraints of the particular application of the device.

Claims 26-33,70,71 see Zaffaroni, et al. The use of a barrier layer to control the release of the active agents is taught by Zaffaroni, et al. The annulus shape see the appropriate figures of Zaffaroni, et al. The composition of the matrix polymer region, see Darouiche as modified by either of Helmus or Zaffaroni et al.

Claims 34-42, see disclosure of intended devices in each of Darouiche, Helmus and Zaffaroni et al.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darouiche as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Braden (5468787).

Darouiche does not disclose the use of a medical device with a base matrix having radioopacifying agent incorporated therewith. Braden teaches a medical device with a base polymer matrix with biocidal agents having radioopacifying agent incorporated therewith to provide contrast of the device for surgical positional verification by the surgeon. To complex radioopacifying agent, barium sulfate with the base matrix of Darouiche to to provide contrast of the device for surgical positional

Art Unit: 3738

verification by the surgeon would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art from the teaching of Braden.

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darouiche as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Capelli (5607683).

Darouiche does not disclose the use of a medical device with a base matrix having therapeutic agent incorporated therewith. Capelli teaches a medical device with a base polymer matrix with biocidal agents having therapeutic agent incorporated therewith to prevent infections in the wounds when employing the device in vivo. To complex a therapeutic agent with the base matrix of Darouiche to prevent infection at the wound site would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art from the teaching of Capelli.

Claims 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darouiche as modified by the secondary references as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of Redkar (6482830).

While the specific medical device of a pancreatic stent is not specifically disclosed by Darouiche as modified, Redkar teaches the use of a catheter or a stent for treatment to the pancreas wherein the device comprises a bicarbonate buffering agent. The use of a stent of Darouiche as modified by the secondary references to treat the pancreas wherein the stent comprises buffering agents would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/071,840 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

with ordinary skill in the art from the teachings of Redkar in order to obviate the needs of an indwelling catheter thereby reducing the risk of infections.

Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darouiche, et al in view of Helmus, et al , Zaffaroni et al and Braden.

Darouiche discloses providing an implantable medical device with at least one biocompatible matrix polymer region and bioactive agents comprising an antimicrobial agent and a biofilm synthesis inhibitor. While Darouiche discloses a general listing of materials that may be used as the base matrix, including ethylene and acetate compositions, the use of ethylene vinylacetate is not specifically disclosed. Helmus, et al and Zaffaroni et al teaches a listing of materials that may be used as a base matrix for medical devices including ethylene and acetate compositions including ethylene vinylacetate composition and degradable materials including polylactic and polyglycolic acids. If not inherent in Darouiche, the use of ethylene vinyl acetate as a base matrix for medical devices would have been obvious from the teachings of Helmus, et al or Zaffaroni et al based upon the use of equivalent materials depending upon the engineering constraints of the particular application of the device. Darouiche does not disclose the use of a medical device with a base matrix having radioopacifying agent incorporated therewith. Braden teaches a medical device with a base polymer matrix with biocidal agents having radioopacifying agent incorporated therewith to provide contrast of the device for surgical positional verification by the surgeon. To complex radioopacifying agent, barium sulfate with the base matrix of Darouiche to to provide

Application/Control Number: 10/071,840 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

contrast of the device for surgical positional verification by the surgeon would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art from the teaching of Braden.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID'J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 1/12/04